



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/770,438		02/04/2004	Hiromoto Ohno	Q79352	3368		
23373	7590	02/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE			PRICE, ELVIS O				
SUITE 800	SILVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037	1621				

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/770,438	OHNO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elvis O. Price	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) are period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply well received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reinication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>18 November 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)∐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar the correction is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/04; 11/18/04.	O-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1) 	52)			

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are pending in the application.

Priority

Applicant has complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e). Receipt of the verified English translation of Provisional Application No. 60/241,838 filed on February 4, 2004 is hereby acknowledge.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 8/3/04 and 11/18/04 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 17-20 of copending Application No. 10221447. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments, filed 11/18/04, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that if the Examiner has issued a provisional double patenting rejection between two co-pending applications and the only rejection remaining in one application, prior to issuing a Notice of Allowance, is the provisional double patenting rejection, the Examiner should withdraw that rejection and permit the application to issue as the patent. Then, the "provisional" double patenting rejection in the other application (i.e., Application No. 10/221,447) is converted into a double patenting rejection at the time the present application issues as a patent.

This argument is not persuasive because the Examiner has not issued a provisional double patenting rejection in the co-pending application (i.e., Application No. 10/221,447). In fact, the said co-pending application is being prosecuted by another Examiner (who has not issued a provisional double patenting rejection) and thus the application is not available to me to render any Office Action. Thus, the above rejection is maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/770,438 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elvis O. Price whose telephone number is 571 272-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571 272-0646. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Elvis O. Price

February 7, 2005